Completely Regioselective Reversals for an Addition Reaction of Ambident Sulphur Nucleophiles to Michael Acceptors

Kafui KPEGBA and Patrick METZNER

Laboratoire de Chimie des Composés Thio-organiques (Associé au CNRS), ISMRA, Université de Caen-Normandie, 14032 Caen, France.

(E-Mail: METZNER@FRCAEN51).

Abstract. - Reaction of titanium enethiolates with α -unsaturated ketones leads selectively to 3-hydroxy 4-unsaturated alkanedithioates, arising from a 1,2-carbon-addition, whereas aluminium enethiolates give oxoketene dithioacetals, from exclusive 1,4-sulphur-addition.

Our group has shown that enethiolates 2, arising from deprotonation of thiocarbonyl compounds 1, exhibit soft nuclophilic behaviour. Their reaction with α -unsaturated ketones leads to regionselective 1,4-additions under kinetic control (1-7).

S
R
SMe

1) LDA or MeLi
THF - 78°C

2)
$$R^2$$
SMe

3) R_4^2
R
SMe

2) R^3
R
SMe
R
SMe

The synthetic interest of this reaction led us to investigate its scope and the influence of various factors: nature of the thiocarbonyl compound (8), of the Michael acceptor (9). We have also shown that the reaction course does not vary when replacing THF for another solvent, ether or even HMPA.

We wished to study the role of the **metallic counterpart** of the nucleophile. So far we had used **lithium**. We wondered whether this conjugate addition is specific of lithium enethiolates. Our interest was also to achieve stereocontrol by changing the metal. Having demonstrated the

stereospecificity of the addition of acyclic lithium enethiolates (5), our project was to devise a stereoconvergent reaction.

During this investigation we have uncovered that the use of titanium or aluminium species leads to a complete reversal of regioselectivity, apparently without precedent in the oxygen series. Reactants are ambident: the sulphur nucleophile may react through its carbon or sulfur terminus and the acceptor may lead to 1,2- or 1,4-additions.

Lithium enethiolates were generated as usual (2, 10, 11) by proton abstraction of methyl dithioacetate 5 or propanedithioate 6 with LDA or MeLi. Metal exchange was carried out by addition of tri(isopropoxy)titanium chloride (4 equiv.) at -40°C. The resulting mixtures were treated with three enones: pent-3-en-2-one 7, 3-methylbut-3-en-2-one 8 and cyclohex-2-enone 10. After quenching with aqueous ammonium fluoride we obtained dithioesters 11-14 (12) bearing a 3-hydroxy moiety together with a 4-unsaturation.

1) LDA, -78°C, THF
2) TiCl(OiPr)₃, -40°C

R¹ SMe
3)
$$R^2$$
 R^4 R^4 R^3 R^1 SM

SMe
4) NH₄F, H₂O

11 $R^2 = R^4 = Me$, $R^3 = H$

12 $R^2 = R^3 = Me$, $R^4 = H$

13 R^2 , $R^4 = (CH_2)_3$, $R^3 = H$

14 $R^2 = R^4 = Me$, $R^3 = H$

Such aldols arise (11, 13-17) from 1,2-additions from the carbon site of the nucleophile. This behaviour is probably related to the relatively hard character of the titanium nucleophiles as compared to the lithium species and to their Lewis acidity. We have thus achieved a second orientation amongst the four that are possible from two reactants which are both ambident.

Lithiated methyl propanedithioate was then treated with 3 eq. of diethylaluminium chloride at -40°C. Enones 7-10 were made to react with the resulting solution for 30 min. After quenching with water, we observed the selective formation of ketones 15-18, bearing a ketene

dithioacetal moiety (12). Their structure reveals a dramatic change of selectivity: the nucleophile affords a 1,4-addition through its sulphur, instead of carbon.

Attack from the sulphur of an enethiolate is common with halides (18-20), but rare with carbonyl electrophiles (with exception of acyl halides). We had previously only observed it once (21) with a sterically hindered enethiolate, derived from methyl dithioisobutyrate: S-addition products were formed under kinetic control and equilibration lead to C-1,4-addition compounds. With the present aluminium derivatives we have not been able to perform any equilibration.

The regiochemistry of the aluminium species remains to be explained. One should note that an excess (4 equiv.) of metal salt is crucial in both cases, either to effect complete metal exchange or to develop sufficient Lewis acidity.

In conclusion, by proper selection of the metallic part of a sulfur nucleophile, we are now able to achieve three out of the four orientations that are possible from two partners that are both ambident.

The use of titanium and aluminium nucleophiles has already been reported as a means to adjust selectivity towards carbonyl compounds (22). To our knowledge this tactics was not precedented so far to reverse the regiochemistry for the addition of enolate type reagents to Michael acceptors (23). Our results illustrate the often specific behaviour of thiocarbonyl compounds and enethiolates (24).

(12)

References and Notes

- Metzner, P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 335-336.
- Berrada, S.; Metzner, P.; Rakotonirina, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1985, 881-890.
- Bertz, S. H.; Jelinski, L. W.; Dabbagh, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 388-390.
- Metzner, P. Rev. Heteroatom Chem. 1989, 2, 152-167.
- Kpegba, K.; Metzner, P.; Rakotonirina, R. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 2041-2056.
- Berrada, S.; Désert, S.; Metzner, P. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 3575-3586.
- Yura, T.; Iwasawa, N.; Narasaka, K.; Mukaiyama, T. Chem. Lett. 1988, 1025-1026.
- 23456789 Metzner, P.; Rakotonirina, R. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 1289-1298.
- Guigné, A.; Metzner, P., submitted for publication.
- Beslin, P.; Metzner, P.; Vallée, Y.; Vialle, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3617-3620. (10)
- Beslin, P.; Vallée, Y. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 2691-2705. (11)
 - Yields are quantitative. Products are labile but, since they are more than 90 % pure, they can be used crude to avoid liquid chromatography. Selected spectroscopic data for new compounds: 11 - 1 H NMR: 1.20 (s, 3-Me); 1.64 (d, J = 6 Hz, Me-C=); 2.58 (s, SMe); 3.18 (s, Me-C=S); 3.52 (bs, OH); 5.48 (m, HC=CH). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆+CCl₄): 17.4; 19.7; 28.3; 62.5; 72.1; 123.2; 136.6; 235.2. IR (CCl₄): 3450 cm⁻¹ (OH). 12 - ¹H NMR: 1.32 (s, 3-Me); 1.78 (s, Me-C=); 2.68 (s, SMe); 3.38 (s, CH₂-C=S); 3.98 (bs, OH); 5.02 (m, CH₂=C). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆+CCl₄): 19.5; 27.4; 30.1; 60.2; 75.0; 111.0; 148.7; 235.2. IR (CCl₄): 3440 cm⁻¹ (OH). 13 - ¹H NMR: 2.65 (s, SMe); 3.22 (s, CH₂C=S); 3.45 (bs, OH); 5.62 (m, HC=CH). RMN 13 C (C₆D₆+CCl₄): 19.0; 19.8; 25.0; 35.4; 62.1; 69.4; 128.8; 131.7; 234.8. IR (CCl₄): 3450 cm⁻¹ (OH). 14 - 1 H NMR : 1.18 (s, 3-Me of minor diastereomer); 1.20 (s, 3-Me of major diastereomer); 1.30 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2-Me maj); 1.35 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2-Me min); 1.60 (d, J = 7 Hz, Me-C = min); 1.75 (d, J = 7 Hz,Me-C=); 2.55 (s, MeS min); 2.70 (s, MeS maj); 3.35 (bs, OH); 5.5 (m, H-C=). 13 C NMR (C₆D₆): 17.6 (min); 17.6 (min); 17.7 (maj); 18.5; 19.1; 26.9 (min); 28.2 (maj); 62.8 (min); 63.1 (maj); 73.9; 123.2 (min); 124.3 (maj); 136.5 (maj); 137.5 (min); 245.6 IR (CCl₄): 3640 cm⁻¹ (OH). 15 - 1 H NMR : 1.28 (d, J = 7 Hz, Me-CH); 1.90 (d, J = 7 Hz, Me-C=); 2.15 (s, Me-C=O); 2.3 (s, MeS); 6.1 (q, J = 7 Hz, H-C=). ¹³C NMR (CDCl3): 16.4 (E major isomer); 16.5 (Z minor isomer); 16.8 (Z); 20.4 (E); 20.8 (Z) 30.3 (Z); 30.8 (E); 36.4 (E); 37.2 (Z); 50.0 (E); 50.4 (Z); 131.0 (E); 132.2 (Z); 206.2 (E); 206.4 (Z). IR (CCl₄): 1720 cm⁻¹ (C=O). 16 - 1 H NMR : 1.20 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3-Me); 1.90 (d, J = 7 Hz, Me-C=); 2.15 (s, MeS); 2.25 (s, Me-C=O of E major isomer); 2.30 (s, Me-C=O of Z minor isomer); 6.10 (q, J = 7 Hz, H-C= of Z); 6.20 (q, J = 7 Hz, H-C= of E). 13 C NMR (C₆D₆ + CCl₄): 15.8 (Z); 16.0 (E); 16.3 (Z); 16.6 (E); 27.8; 28.0; 34.9; 46.7; 131.8 (Z); 132.2 (E); 134.2; 207.0. IR (CCl₄): 1710 cm⁻¹ (C=O). 17 - 1 H NMR : 1.90 (d, J = 7 Hz, Me-C=S); 2.20 (s,

MeS); 6.10 (q, J = 7 Hz, H-C=). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): 16.3 (Z major isomer); 16.5 (E minor isomer); 28.7 (E); 29.1 (Z); 36.2; 41.6 (E); 42.1 (Z); 44.1 (E); 44.5 (Z); 96.2; 132.2 (Z); 136.3 (E); 212.7. IR (CCl₄): 1725 cm⁻¹ (C=O). 18 - 1 H NMR : 1.88 (d, J = 7 Hz, Me-C=); 2.20 (s, MeS); 6.10 (q, J = 7 Hz, H-C=). 13 C NMR (C₆D₆): 16.1 (Z major isomer); 16.3 (E minor isomer); 16.4 (Z); 16.6 (E); 23.8 (Z); 30.9 (E); 31.2 (Z); 40.4 (Z); 43.5 (E); 44.0 (Z); 46.7 (E); 47.0 (Z); 132.6 (Z); 136.7 (Z); 204.3 (Z); 204.4 (E). IR (CCl₄): 1720 cm⁻¹

- Meyers, A. I.; Tait, T. A.; Comins, D. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 4657-4660. (13)
- Meyers, A. I.; Walkup, R. D. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 5089-5106.
- Meyers, A. I.; Babiak, K. A.; Campbell, A. L.; Comins, D. L.; Fleming, M. P.; Henning, R.; Heuschmann, (15)M.; Hudspeth, J. P.; Kane, J. M.; Reider, P. J.; Roland, D. M.; Shimizu, K.; Tomioka, K.; Walkup, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5015-5024.
- Beslin, P.; Houtteville, M.-C. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 4445-4456. (16)
- Lawson, K. R.; Singleton, A.; Whitham, G. H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1984, 859-864. (17)
- Scheithauer, S.; Mayer, R. In Thio- and Dithiocarboxylic Acids and their Derivatives. Topics in Sulfur (18)Chemistry; Senning, A., Ed.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 1979; Vol. 4.
- Schuijl, P. J. W.; Brandsma, L.; Arens, J. F. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1966, 85, 1263-1265. Beiner, J.-M.; Thuillier, A. C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C 1972, 274, 642-645. (19)
- (20)
- Metzner, P.; Rakotonirina, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4203-4206. (21)
- Evans, D. A.; Nelson, J. V.; Taber, T. R. Top. Stereochem. 1982, 13, 1-115. Reetz, M. T. Synthetic and Structural Problems. Topics in Current Chemistry; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1982; Vol. 106, p. 3-54. Weidmann, B.; Seebach, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 31-45. Seebach, D.; Weidmann, B.; (22)Widler, L. In Modern Synthetic Methods 1983; Scheffold, R., Ed.; Salle-Sauerlander: Frankfurt, p. 217-353. Heathcock, C. H. In Comprehensive Carbanion Chemistry; Buncel, E.; Durst, T., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1984; Part 2, p. 177-238. Reetz, M. T. Organotitanium Reagents in Organic Synthesis. Reactivity and Structure Concepts in Organic Chemistry; Springer: Berlin, 1986; Vol. 24. Géribaldi, S.; Duval, D. In The Chemistry of Enones; Patai, S.; Rappoport, Z., Eds.; Wiley: New-York,
- (23)1989, p. 355-469.
- Thuillier, A. Phosphorus Sulfur 1985, 23, 253. (24)